

Beta and Gamma functions of Cayley-Dickson numbers

S.V. Ludkovsky

27.05.2004

1 Introduction.

This paper continues investigations of function theory over Cayley-Dickson algebras [12, 13]. Cayley-Dickson algebras \mathcal{A}_v over the field of real numbers coincide with the field \mathbf{C} of complex numbers for $v = 1$, with the skew field of quaternions, when $v = 2$, with the division nonassociative noncommutative algebra \mathbf{K} of octonions for $v = 3$, for each $v \geq 4$ they are nonassociative and not division algebras. The algebra \mathcal{A}_{v+1} is obtained from \mathcal{A}_v with the help of the doubling procedure. This work provides examples of \mathcal{A}_v -meromorphic functions and usages of line integrals over \mathcal{A}_v . Here notations of previous papers [12, 13] are used. Discussions of references and results of others authors can be found in [12, 13] as well as physical applications (see also [1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15] and references therein). Beta and Gamma functions illustrate general theory of meromorphic functions of Cayley-Dickson numbers and also applications of line integration over \mathcal{A}_v .

The results below show some similarity with the complex case and as well differences caused by noncommutativity and nonassociativity of Cayley-Dickson algebras. It is necessary to mention that before works [12, 13] there was not any publication of others authors devoted to the line integration of continuous functions of Cayley-Dickson numbers or even quaternions along rectifiable paths. In works of others authors integrations over submanifolds of codimension 1 in \mathbf{H} or \mathbf{K} were used instead of line integral. Therefore, in this respect publications [12, 13] are the first devoted to (integral) holomorphic functions of Cayley-Dickson numbers.

If g is a complex holomorphic function on a domain V in the complex plane Π embedded into \mathcal{A}_v and g has a local expansion $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$ in the ball $B(\Pi, z_0, r^-)$ for each $z_0 \in \text{Int}(V)$, where $\text{Int}(V)$ is the interior of V in Π , $r > 0$ and $a_n = a_n(z_0) \in \mathbf{C}$ may depend on parameter z_0 , $B(X, a, r^-) := \{x \in X : \rho(x, a) < r\}$ for a metrizable space X with metric ρ , f is a function on a domain U in \mathcal{A}_v , $v \geq 2$, such that $V \subset U \cap \Pi$ and $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$ is the local expansion of f in $B(\mathcal{A}_v, z_0, r^-)$ for each $z_0 \in V$, then the line integral $\int_{\omega} f(z)dz$ over \mathcal{A}_v for a rectifiable path ω in V coincides with the classical complex Cauchy line integral, since $\hat{f}|_V = f|_V$. Nevertheless, if f is an \mathcal{A}_v -holomorphic function on a domain U in \mathcal{A}_v , $V = U \cap \Pi$ is a domain in the complex plane Π embedded into \mathcal{A}_v , then in general $\int_{\omega} f(z)dz$ can not be reduced to Cauchy line integral for any rectifiable path ω in V , since the generalized operator \hat{f} is defined by values of f in the neighbourhood of ω (see [12, 13]).

2 Beta and Gamma functions of Cayley-Dickson numbers.

1. Definition. The Gamma function is defined by the formula:

$$(1) \Gamma(z) := \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt,$$

whenever this (Eulerian of the second kind) integral converges and defined by \mathcal{A}_v -holomorphic continuation elsewhere, where $0 < t \in \mathbf{R}$, $t^z := \exp(z \ln t)$, $z \in \mathcal{A}_v$, t^z take its principal value, dt corresponds to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R} , $\ln : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is the classical (natural) logarithmic function.

Denote by $\text{Re}(z) := (z + z^*)/2$ the real part of $z \in \mathcal{A}_v$, $\mathcal{I}_v := \{z \in \mathcal{A}_v : \text{Re}(z) = 0\}$, where z^* is the conjugate of a Cayley-Dickson number z .

2. Proposition. *The gamma function has as singularities only simple poles at the points $z \in \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$ and $\text{res}(-n, \Gamma)M = [(-1)^n/n!]M$ for each $M \in \mathcal{I}_v$.*

Proof. Write $\Gamma(z)$ in the form:

$$(i) \Gamma(z) = \Phi(z) + \Psi(z), \text{ where}$$

$$(ii) \Phi(z) = \int_0^1 e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt,$$

$$(iii) \Psi(z) := \int_1^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt.$$

Since $|e^{a+M}| = e^a$ for each $a \in \mathbf{R}$ and $M \in \mathcal{I}_v$ (see Corollary 3.3 [13]), then $|t^{z-1}| \leq t^{\delta-1}$ for each $\text{Re}(z) \leq \delta$, where $\delta > 0$ is a marked number. From

$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t} t^{z-1} = 0$ it follows, that there exists $C = \text{const} > 0$ such that $|e^{-t} t^{z-1}| \leq C e^{-t/2}$ for each $t > 0$ and each z with $\text{Re}(z) \leq \delta$. Therefore, $\Psi(z)$ is the \mathcal{A}_v -holomorphic function in \mathcal{A}_v .

Consider change of variables $t = 1/u$, then $\Phi(z) = \int_1^\infty e^{-1/u} u^{-z-1} du$ for each $\delta > 0$ and each z with $\text{Re}(z) \leq \delta$, hence $|e^{-1/u} u^{-z-1}| \leq u^{-\delta-1}$. Therefore, $\Phi(z)$ is \mathcal{A}_v -holomorphic, when $\text{Re}(z) > 0$. Substituting the Taylor series for e^{-t} into the integral expression (ii), we get

(iv) $\Phi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty (-1)^n \int_0^1 t^{n+z-1} dt / n! = \sum_{n=0}^\infty (-1)^n (n+z)^{-1} / n!$. Series (iv) is uniformly and absolutely convergent in any closed domain in $\mathcal{A}_v \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$ and this series gives \mathcal{A}_v -analytic continuation of $\Phi(z)$. Thus $\Gamma(z)$ has only simple poles at the points $z \in \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$.

The following Tannery lemma is true for \mathcal{A}_v -valued functions (for complex valued functions see §9.2 [3]).

3. Lemma. *If $g(t)$ and $f(t, n)$ are functions from $[a, \infty)$ to \mathcal{A}_v , $v \geq 2$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(t, n) = g(t)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^{\lambda_n} f(t, n) dt = \int_a^\infty g(t) dt$, provided that $f(t, n)$ tends to $g(t)$ uniformly on any fixed interval, and provided also that there exists a positive function $M(t)$ such that $|f(t, n)| \leq M(t)$ for each values of n and t and such that $\int_a^\infty M(t) dt$ converges.*

Proof. The sequence $f(t, n)$ converges uniformly to $g(t)$ in the fixed segment $a \leq t \leq b$, $a < b < \infty$. Using triangle inequalities and $|\int_a^b g(t) dt| \leq \int_a^b |g(t)| dt$ gives: $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\int_a^{\lambda_n} f(t, n) dt - \int_a^\infty g(t) dt| \leq 2 \int_b^\infty M(t) dt$ for each $a < b < \infty$. From $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_b^\infty M(t) dt = 0$ the statement of this lemma follows.

4. Proposition. *If $\Gamma(z, n) := n! n^z [(\dots((z(z+1))(z+2))\dots(z+n))]^{-1}$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then $\Gamma(z, n)$ tends to $\Gamma(z)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the convergence being uniform in any bounded canonical closed subset $U \subset \mathcal{A}_v$ which contains no any of the singularities of $\Gamma(z)$, $v \geq 2$.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{A}_v is power-associative and \mathbf{R} is the centre of the Cayley-Dickson algebra, then $\{n^z [z(z+1)(z+2)\dots(z+n)]^{-1}\}_{q(n+2)}$ does not depend on the order of multiplication regulated by the vector $q(n+2)$ (see [13]). Therefore, $\Gamma(z, n) = (n/(n+1))^z z^{-1} \prod_{m=1}^n \{(1+1/m)^z (1+z/m)^{-1}\}$. Then $(1+1/m)^z (1+z/m)^{-1} = 1 + z(z-1)/(2m^2) + O(1/m^3)$, when $m > 0$ is large, hence $z^{-1} \prod_{m=1}^n \{(1+1/m)^z (1+z/m)^{-1}\}$ converges uniformly and absolutely in any bounded canonical closed domain U in \mathcal{A}_v to an \mathcal{A}_v -holomorphic function in accordance with Theorem 3.21 [13]. In view of Formulas (3.6, 7) in [13] $|(1-t/n)^n t^{z-1}| = (1-t/n)^n t^{a-1} \leq e^{-t} t^{a-1}$, where $a := \text{Re}(z)$. From

$\int_0^n (1 - t/n)^n t^{z-1} dt = n^z \int_0^1 (1 - u)^n u^{z-1} du$ and integrating by parts we get $\Gamma(z, n) = \int_0^n (1 - t/n)^n t^{z-1} dt$, hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma(z, n) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt =: \Gamma(z)$ by Lemma 3.

5. Remark. From the proof of Proposition 4 it follows, that $\Gamma(z) = z^{-1} \prod_{m=1}^\infty \{(1 + 1/m)^z (1 + z/m)^{-1}\}$ for each $z \in \mathcal{A}_v \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$, $v \geq 1$. The latter is known as the Euler's formula in the case of complex numbers.

6. Proposition. *The gamma function satisfies identities:*

(i) $\Gamma(z + 1) = z\Gamma(z)$ and

(ii) $\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1 - z) = \pi \csc(\pi z)$

for each $z \in \mathcal{A}_v \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$, $v \geq 2$.

Proof. In view of power associativity of \mathcal{A}_v and that \mathbf{R} is the centre of the Cayley-Dickson algebra we get

$\Gamma(z + 1) = z \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n! n^z [z(z + 1) \dots (z + n)]^{-1} n / (z + n + 1) = z\Gamma(z)$, also

$\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1 - z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{z(1 - z^2/1^2)(1 - z^2/2^2) \dots (1 - z^2/n^2)(1 + (1 - z)/n)\}^{-1} = \{z \prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 - z^2/n^2)\}^{-1}$. In view of §6.83 $\pi \csc(\pi z) = \{z \prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 - z^2/n^2)\}^{-1}$ for complex z in $\mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{Z}$ [3]. Using the \mathcal{A}_v -holomorphic extension of this function from the complex domain onto the corresponding domain $\mathcal{A}_v \setminus \mathbf{Z}$ (see Proposition 3.13, Corollary 2.13 and Theorems 3.10, 3.21 [13]), we get Formula (ii).

7. Definition. A function F on an unbounded domain U in \mathcal{A}_v , $v \geq 2$, is said to have an asymptotic expansion $F \sim \sum_{|k| \leq 0} (a_k, z^k)$, if

$$\lim_{z \in U, |z| \rightarrow \infty} z^n \{F(z) - \sum_{|k| \leq 0} (a_k, z^k)\} = 0$$

for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, where $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$, $|k| := k_1 + \dots + k_n$, $k_j \in \mathbf{Z}$ for each j , $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $(a_k, z^k) := a_{k_1} z^{k_1} \dots a_{k_n} z^{k_n}$, $a_{k_j} \in \mathcal{A}_v$ for each j .

We write $F(z) \sim G(z) \sum_{|k| \leq 0} (a_k, z^k)$, if $G(z)^{-1} F(z) \sim \sum_{|k| \leq 0} (a_k, z^k)$. The term $G(z)a_0$ is called the dominant term of the asymptotic representation of $F(z)$.

8. Lemma. *Let $f(t)$ be a function in an unbounded domain U in \mathcal{A}_v possibly with a branch point at 0 and such that*

$$f(z) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty a_m z^{(m/r)-1},$$

when $|z| \leq a$, $a > 0$, $r > 0$, let also f be \mathcal{A}_v -holomorphic in $B(U, 0, a + \delta) \setminus \{0\}$, where $\delta > 0$. Suppose, that when $t \geq 0$, $|f(t)| < Ce^{bt}$, where $C > 0$ and $b > 0$ are constants. Then

$$F(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} f(t) dt \sim \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n \Gamma(n/r) z^{-n/r},$$

when $|z|$ is large and $|\text{Arg}(z)| \leq \pi/2 - \epsilon$, where $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary.

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists a constant $C = \text{const} > 0$ such that

$|f(t) - \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} a_m t^{(m/r)-1}| \leq C t^{(n/r)-1} e^{bt}$
 for each $t \geq 0$. In view of Formulas (3.2, 3) [13]
 $|\int_0^\infty e^{-zt} [f(t) - \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} a_m t^{(m/r)-1}] dt| \leq \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} C t^{(n/r)-1} e^{bt} dt$
 $= C \Gamma(n/r) (x - b)^{-n/r}$
 for each $x > b$, where $x := \operatorname{Re}(z)$. From the condition $|\operatorname{Arg}(z)| \leq \pi/2 - \epsilon$ it follows, that $x \geq |z| \sin(\epsilon)$, such that $x > b$ for $|z| > b \csc(\epsilon)$. Therefore, for $|\operatorname{Arg}(z)| \leq \pi/2 - \epsilon < \pi/2$ and $|z| > b \csc(\epsilon)$, there is the inequality:
 $|z|^{n/r} \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} [f(t) - \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} a_m t^{(m/r)-1}] dt| \leq C \Gamma(n/r) |z|^{n/r} / (|z| \sin(\epsilon) - b)^{n/r} = O(1)$.

9. Proposition. *Let $0 < \delta < \pi/2$, $z \in \mathcal{A}_v \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$, $|\operatorname{Arg}(z)| \leq \pi - \delta$, $v \geq 2$. Then there exists the asymptotic expansion:*

$$\operatorname{Ln} \Gamma(z) \sim (z - 1/2) \operatorname{Ln}(z) - z + (\ln(2\pi))/2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} B_n [2n(2n-1)z^{2n-1}]^{-1},$$

where B_n are Bernoulli numbers defined by the equation: $(z/2) \coth(z/2) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} B_n z^{2n} / (2n)!$.

Proof. If $z > 0$, then the substitution $t = zu$ gives $\Gamma(z) = \Gamma(1 + z)/z = z^z e^{-z} \int_0^\infty (ue^{1-u})^z du$ and by analytic continuation the formula $\Gamma(z) = z^z e^{-z} \int_0^\infty (ue^{1-u})^z du$ is true for each copy of \mathbf{C} , $0 \in \mathbf{C}$, embedded into \mathcal{A}_v . In view of independence of this formula from such embedding and power associativity of \mathcal{A}_v it follows, that it is true for each $z \in \mathcal{A}_v$ with $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$. For $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$ and large $|z|$ using substitutions $e^{-t} = \eta e^{1-\eta}$ for $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $\eta \in (1, \infty)$; also the substitution $e^{-t} = u e^{1-u}$ for t decreasing monotonously from ∞ to 0 and $u \in (0, 1)$, we get $z^{-z} e^z \Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} (d\eta/dt - du/dt) dt$. Consider two real solutions η and u of the equation $t = u - 1 - \ln(u)$ and the equation $\zeta^2/2 = w - \operatorname{Ln}(1 + w)$ which defines $w = w(\zeta)$ for $\zeta \in \mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}$. It has two branches $\zeta = \beta w(1 - 2w/3 + 2w^2/4 - \dots)^{1/2}$, where $\beta = -1$ or $\beta = 1$. Each branch is the analytic function of w in the domain $\{w \in \mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R} : |w| < 1\}$ with a simple zero at $w = 0$. For $\beta = 1$ there exists a unique solution $w = \zeta + a_2 \zeta^2 + a_3 \zeta^3 + \dots$ in $\{\zeta : |\zeta| < \rho\}$, $na_n M = \operatorname{res}(0, \zeta^{-n}) M$ for each $n > 1$.

Thus w has two branches w_1 and $w_2(\zeta) = w_1(-\zeta)$. Singularities of $w(\zeta)$ are only points at which $dw/d\zeta$ is zero or infinite, hence these are $\zeta = 0$, also points corresponding to $w = 0$ and $w = -1$, since $dw/d\zeta = \zeta(1 + w)/w$. Then $\zeta = 0$ is not a branch-point of w_1 , to $w = -1$ there corresponds $\zeta = \infty$. Therefore, singularities are: $\zeta^2 = 4n\pi M$, where $n \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Then η and u are \mathcal{A}_v -holomorphic, when $|(z + \tilde{z})/2| < 2\pi$ possibly besides $z = 0$ and when $|z| < 2\pi$, where $\zeta^2 =: 2z$ such that $\eta = 1 + (2z)^{1/2} + a_2(2z) + a_3(2z)^{3/2} + a_4(2z)^2 + \dots$,

$u = 1 - (2z)^{1/2} + a_2(2z) - a_3(2z)^{3/2} + a_4(2z)^2 - \dots$, the square roots are taken positive, when $z > 0$. Applying Lemma 8 we get the asymptotic expansion. In view of Theorem 2.15 [13] for $M \in \mathcal{I}_v$ with $|M| = 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and a loop defined by ρe^{Mt} on the boundary of the sector $|z| \leq \rho$ and two lines $\text{Arg}(z) = 0$, $\text{Arg}(z) = M\alpha$, where $\alpha \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, $g(z) := d(\eta - u)/dt$, provides the equality: $\int_0^\infty e^{-zt} g(t) dt = \int_0^\infty \exp(-zte^{M\alpha}) g(te^{M\alpha}) e^{M\alpha} dt$, when $\text{Arg}(z) \in M\mathbf{R}$, $\text{Re}(z) > 0$ and $\text{Re}(ze^{M\alpha}) > 0$, since $\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}$ is isomorphic with \mathbf{C} which is commutative. Therefore, the latter integral converges uniformly and provides the analytic function. Two regions $\text{Re}(z) > 0$ and $\text{Re}(ze^{M\alpha}) > 0$ have a common area and by the analytic continuation: $z^{-z} e^z \Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-zte^{M\alpha}) g(te^{M\alpha}) e^{M\alpha} dt$, when $\alpha \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$. Applying Lemma 8 we get the region of validity of this asymptotic expansion, since M is arbitrary.

10. Corollary. *For large $|y|$ there is the asymptotic expansion $|\Gamma(x + My)| \sim (2\pi)^{1/2} |y|^{x-1/2} \exp(-\pi|y|/2)$ uniformly by $M \in \mathcal{I}_v$, $|M| = 1$, where $v \geq 2$, $y \in \mathbf{R}$.*

11. Corollary. $\pi^{1/2} \Gamma(2z) = 2^{2z-1} \Gamma(z) \Gamma(z + 1/2)$ for each $z \in \mathcal{A}_v \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$, $v \geq 2$.

The proof is analogous to §§9.55, 9.56 [3], since $\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}$ is isomorphic with \mathbf{C} for each $M \in \mathcal{I}_v$, $v \geq 2$, $|M| = 1$.

12. Proposition. *For all $z \in \mathcal{A}_v$:*

$$1/\Gamma(z) = (2\pi)^{-1} (\int_\psi e^\zeta \zeta^{-z} d\zeta) M^*$$

for a loop ψ and z in the plane $\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}$, $M \in \mathcal{I}_v$, $|M| = 1$, ψ starts at $-\infty$ of the real axis, encircles 0 once in the positive direction and returns to the starting point.

Proof. Consider the integral $\int_\psi e^\zeta \zeta^{-z} d\zeta =: \int_\psi f(\zeta) d\zeta$, the integrand $f(\zeta)$ has a branch point at zero, but each branch is a one-valued function of ζ and each branch is \mathcal{A}_v -holomorphic in $\mathcal{A}_v \setminus Q$, where Q is a submanifold in \mathcal{A}_v of real codimension 1 such that $(-\infty, 0] \subset Q$ (see §3.7 [12]). Then take a branch $e^\zeta \zeta^{-z} = \exp(\zeta - z \text{Ln}(\zeta))$, where $\text{Ln}(\zeta)$ takes its principal value. Consider a rectifiable loop γ in $\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}$ encompassing zero in the positive direction and beginning at $-\rho$ on the lower edge of the cut and returns to $-\rho$ at the upper edge of the cut, where $\rho > 0$.

In view of Theorem 2.15 [13] the value of the integral is not changed by the deformation to a contour γ consisting of the lower edge of the cut intersected with $[-\rho, -\delta]$, where $0 < \delta < \rho$, the circle $|z| = \delta$ in the plane $\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}$, and the upper edge of the cut intersected with $[-\rho, -\delta]$. On

the upper edge of the cut in γ : $\zeta = ue^{\pi M}$, where $u > 0$, $u \in \mathbf{R}$, and $f(\zeta) = \exp(-u - z \ln(u) - z\pi M) = e^{-u}u^{-z}e^{-z\pi M}$. On the lower edge of the cut in γ : $\zeta = ue^{-\pi M}$ and $f(\zeta) = e^{-u}u^{-z}e^{z\pi M}$. Therefore, $\int_{\gamma} f(\zeta)d\zeta = (e^{z\pi M} - e^{-z\pi M}) \int_{\delta}^{\rho} e^{-u}u^{-z}du + J$, where $J := \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp(\delta e^{\theta M}) M \delta^{(1-z)} e^{(1-z)\theta M} d\theta$, since $\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}$ is isomorphic with \mathbf{C} and $\hat{f}(z)|_{\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}}.h = f(z)h$ for each h and $z \in \mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}$ (see Theorem 2.7 [13]).

If $z = x + yM$, where x and $y \in \mathbf{R}$, then $|J| \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \delta^{1-x} \exp(\delta \cos(\theta) + y\theta) d\theta \leq 2\pi \delta^{1-x} e^{\delta + \pi|y|}$, consequently, $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} J = 0$, when $x < 1$. Hence $\int_{\gamma} e^{-\zeta} \zeta^{-z} d\zeta = 2 \sin(\pi z) (\int_0^{\rho} e^{-u} u^{-z} du) M$ for $Re(1-z) > 0$. Suppose ψ is the loop obtained from γ by tending ρ to the infinity, then $\int_{\psi} e^{\zeta} \zeta^{-z} d\zeta = 2 \sin(\pi z) (\int_0^{\infty} e^{-u} u^{-z} du) M = 2 \sin(\pi z) \Gamma(1-z) M$, since $\Gamma(z) \Gamma(1-z) = \pi \csc(\pi z)$, hence $1/\Gamma(z) = (2\pi)^{-1} (\int_{\psi} e^{\zeta} \zeta^{-z} d\zeta) M^*$. Since $M \in \mathcal{I}_v$ with $|M| = 1$ is arbitrary, then this formula is true in $\mathcal{A}_v \setminus Q$ with $Re(1-z) > 0$. By the complex holomorphic continuation this formula is true for all values of z in $\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}$.

13. Corollary. *Let M and ψ be as in Proposition 12, then $\Gamma(z) = (2 \sin(\pi z))^{-1} (\int_{\psi} e^{\zeta} \zeta^{z-1} d\zeta) M^*$ for each $z \in \mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Z}$.*

14. Definition. The Beta function $B(p, q)$ of Cayley-Dickson numbers $p, q \in \mathcal{A}_v$, $v \geq 2$, is defined by the equation:

$$B(p, q) := \int_0^1 \zeta^{p-1} (1-\zeta)^{q-1} d\zeta,$$

whenever this integral (Eulerian of the first kind) converges, where $\zeta^{p-1} := e^{(p-1)\ln(\zeta)}$ and the logarithm has its principal value. This equation defines $B(p, q)$ for each $Re(p) > 0$ and $Re(q) > 0$. For others values of p and q it is defined by the complex holomorphic continuation by p and q separately and subsequently in each complex plane $\mathbf{R} \oplus M\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{R} \oplus S\mathbf{R}$, $M, S \in \mathcal{I}_v$, $|M| = 1$ and $|S| = 1$.

15. Proposition. *Let $p, q \in \mathcal{A}_v$, $v \geq 2$, such that the minimal subalgebra $\Upsilon_{p,q}$ containing p and q has embedding into \mathbf{K} , then*

$$B(p, q) - B(q, p) = [B(p, q) - B(p, q_0 - q') - B(p_0 - p', q) + B(p_0 - p', q_0 - q')](q')^* q'_2 / 2,$$

where $p_0 := Re(p)$, $p' := p - Re(p)$, $q'_2 \perp p'$, $q'_1 \parallel p'$ relative to the scalar product $(z, \eta) := Re(z\eta^*)$, $q' = q'_1 + q'_2$.

Proof. Making the substitution $\eta \mapsto 1 - \eta$ of the variable, we get $\int_0^1 \eta^{q-1} (1-\eta)^{p-1} d\eta = \int_0^1 (1-\eta)^{q-1} \eta^{p-1} d\eta$, but in general p and q do not commute. In view of Formulas (3.2, 3.3) [13] the commutator of two terms in the integral is:

$$[t^{p-1}, (1-t)^{q-1}] = 2t^{p_0-1} (1-t)^{q_0-1} [(\sin |p' \ln t|) / |p' \ln t|] (\sin |q' \ln(1-t)|) / |q' \ln(1-t)|$$

$t)|](p'ln t)(q'_2ln(1-t)).$

On the other hand, $[(\sin |M|)/|M|]M = [e^M - e^{-M}]/2$ for each $M \in \mathcal{I}_v$, hence $\int_0^1 [t^{p-1}, (1-t)^{q-1}] dt = (\int_0^1 t^{p_0-1} (1-t)^{q_0-1} [t^{p'} - t^{-p'}] [(1-t)^{q'} - (1-t)^{-q'}] dt) (q')^* q'_2 / 2$
 $= [B(p, q) - B(p, q_0 - q') - B(p_0 - p', q) + B(p_0 - p', q_0 - q')] (q')^* q'_2 / 2$, since \mathbf{K} is alternative and $p'q'_2 = p'((q'q'^*)q'_2) = p'(q'(q'^*q'_2))$.

16. Remark. Let G be a classical Lie group over \mathbf{R} and $g = T_e G$ be its Lie algebra (finite dimensional over \mathbf{R}). Suppose that $e : V \rightarrow U$ is the exponential mapping of the neighbourhood V of zero in g into a neighbourhood U of the unit element $e \in G$, $ln : U \rightarrow V$ is the logarithmic mapping. Then $w = ln(e^u \circ e^v)$, $w = w(u, v)$, is given by the Campbell-Hausdorff formula in terms of the adjoint representation $(ad \ u)(v) := [u, v]$:

$$w = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \sum_{r+s=n, r \geq 0, s \geq 0} (w'_{r,s} + w''_{r,s}), \text{ where } w'_{r,s} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}$$

$$(-1)^{m-1} m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^* ((\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (ad \ u)^{r_i} (ad \ v)^{s_i} (r_i!)^{-1} (s_i!)^{-1}) (ad \ u)^{r_m} (r_m!)^{-1}) (v),$$

$$w''_{r,s} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m-1} m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{**} ((\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (ad \ u)^{r_i} (ad \ v)^{s_i} (r_i!)^{-1} (s_i!)^{-1}) (u),$$

\sum^* means the sum by $r_1 + \dots + r_m = r$, $s_1 + \dots + s_{m-1} = s - 1$, $r_1 + s_1 \geq 1$, ..., $r_{m-1} + s_{m-1} \geq 1$, \sum^{**} means the sum by $r_1 + \dots + r_{m-1} = r - 1$, $s_1 + \dots + s_{m-1} = s$, $r_1 + s_1 \geq 1$, ..., $r_{m-1} + s_{m-1} \geq 1$. In particular, this formula can be applied to the multiplicative group $G = \mathbf{H} \setminus \{0\}$ with $U = G$ and $V = g$, since each quaternion can be represented as a 2×2 complex matrix, where generators of \mathbf{H} are Pauli matrices [2].

17. Theorem. Let $p, q \in \mathcal{A}_v$, $v \geq 2$, such that the minimal subalgebra $\Upsilon_{p,q}$ generated by p and q has embedding into \mathbf{H} , then

$$\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q) = \Gamma(w(p, q))B(p, q) -$$

$$[\Gamma(w(p, q)) - \Gamma(w(p, q_0 - q'))]q'^*q'_2[B(p, q) - B(p_0 - p', q)]/2,$$

where $p_0 := Re(p)$, $p' := p - Re(p)$, $q'_2 \perp p'$, $q'_1 \parallel p'$ relative to the scalar product $(z, \eta) := Re(z\eta^*)$, $q' = q'_1 + q'_2$, $w(p, q)$ is given in Remark 16.

Proof. Let $S_R := \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : 0 \leq x \leq R, 0 \leq y \leq R\}$. Then

$$\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{p-1} dx \int_0^\infty e^{-y} y^{q-1} dy$$

$$= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^R (\int_0^R e^{-x-y} x^{p-1} y^{q-1} dy) dx$$

$$= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int \int_{S_R} e^{-x-y} x^{p-1} y^{q-1} dx dy$$

in accordance with the Fubini theorem, since each function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ has

the form $f(z) = f_1(z) + f_i(z)i + f_j(z)j + f_k(z)k$ for each z in a domain U in \mathbf{H}^n , f_1, f_i, f_j, f_k are real-valued functions, $\{1, i, j, k\}$ are generators of \mathbf{H} . Consider a triangle $T_R := \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : 0 \leq x, 0 \leq y, x + y \leq R\}$ and put $f(x, y) := e^{-x-y}x^{p-1}y^{q-1}$, where $p, q \in \mathcal{A}_v$ are marked, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int \int_{S_R} f(x, y) dx dy - \int \int_{T_R} f(x, y) dx dy \right| \leq \int \int_{S_R \setminus T_R} |f(x, y)| dx dy \\ & \leq \int \int_{S_R} |f(x, y)| dx dy - \int \int_{S_{R/2}} |f(x, y)| dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

We have $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int \int_{S_R} |f(x, y)| dx dy = \Gamma(p_0)\Gamma(q_0)$, hence

$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int \int_{S_R \setminus S_{R/2}} |f(x, y)| dx dy = 0$. Therefore,

$$\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int \int_{T_R} e^{-x-y}x^{p-1}y^{q-1} dx dy.$$

The substitution $x + y = \xi$, $y = \xi\eta$ and application of the Fubini theorem gives

$$\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 e^{-\xi} \xi^p (1 - \eta)^{p-1} \xi^{q-1} \eta^{q-1} d\xi d\eta,$$

since \mathbf{H} is associative, ξ^{p-1} commutes with $(1 - \eta)^{p-1}$, ξ^{q-1} commutes with η^{q-1} . Therefore,

$$\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q) = \Gamma(w(p, q))B(p, q) + \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 e^{-\xi} \xi^p [(1 - \eta)^{p-1}, \xi^{q-1}] \eta^{q-1} d\xi d\eta.$$

Let M and N be in \mathcal{I}_v , then $e^N e^M = (\cos |M|)e^N + [(\sin |N|)/|N|]Me^{N_1 - N_2}$, where $M \perp N_2$, $M \parallel N_1$ relative to the scalar product $(z, \eta) := \text{Re}(z\eta^*)$, $N_1, N_2 \in \mathcal{I}_v$, $N = N_1 + N_2$ (see Formulas (3.2, 3.3) [13]). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 e^{-\xi} \xi^p [(1 - \eta)^{p-1}, \xi^{q-1}] \eta^{q-1} d\xi d\eta = \\ & - \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 e^{-\xi} \xi^{p-1} [\xi^q - \xi^{q_0 - q'}] (q'^* q'_2) [(1 - \eta)^{p-1} - (1 - \eta)^{p_0 - p' - 1}] \eta^{q-1} d\xi d\eta / 2 \\ & = -[\Gamma(w(p, q)) - \Gamma(w(p, q_0 - q'))](q'^* q'_2)[B(p, q) - B(p_0 - p', q)]/2. \end{aligned}$$

18. Note. Proposition 15 and Theorem 17 show differences in identities for Beta and Gamma functions between commutative case of \mathbf{C} and noncommutative cases of \mathcal{A}_v , $v \geq 2$, and \mathbf{H} particularly. Certainly, in the particular case if $\Upsilon_{p,q}$ has embedding into \mathbf{C} , then $q'^* q'_2 = 0$ and Proposition 15 and Theorem 17 give classical results, but for general p and q the subalgebra $\Upsilon_{p,q}$ can have no any embedding into \mathbf{C} .

References

- [1] J.C. Baez. "The octonions". Bull. Amer. Mathem. Soc. **39: 2** (2002), 145-205.
- [2] N. Bourbaki. "Groupes et algèbres de Lie". Fasc. XXVI, XXXVII. Chap. I-III (Herman: Paris, 1971, 1972).
- [3] E.T. Copson. "An introduction to the theory of functions of a complex variable" (Oxford Univ. Press, Ely House: London, 1972).
- [4] G. Emch. "Mèchanique quantique quaternionnienne et Relativité restreinte". Helv. Phys. Acta **36**, 739-788 (1963).
- [5] R. Engelking. "General topology" (Heldermann: Berlin, 1989).
- [6] F. Gürsey, C.-H. Tze. "On the role of division, Jordan and related algebras in particle physics" (World Scientific Publ. Co.: Singapore, 1996).
- [7] W.R. Hamilton. "Selected papers. Optics. Dynamics. Quaternions" (Nauka: Moscow, 1994).
- [8] M. Heins. "Complex function theory" (Acad. Press: New York, 1968).
- [9] I.L. Kantor, A.S. Solodovnikov. "Hypercomplex numbers" (Berlin: Springer, 1989).
- [10] A.G. Kurosh. "Lectures on general algebra" (Moscow: Nauka, 1973).
- [11] H.B. Lawson, M.-L. Michelson. "Spin geometry" (Princeton: Princ. Univ. Press, 1989).
- [12] S.V. Lüdkovsky, F. van Oystaeyen. "Differentiable functions of quaternion variables". Bull. Sci. Math. (Paris). Ser. 2. **127** (2003), 755-796.
- [13] S.V. Lüdkovsky, F. van Oystaeyen. "Differentiable functions of Cayley-Dickson numbers". Los Alam. Nat. Lab. Preprint **math.CV/0405471** (May 2004), 62 pages.
- [14] H. Rothe. "Systeme Geometrischer Analyse" in: "Encyklopädie der Mathematischen Wissenschaften. Band 3. Geometrie", 1277-1423 (Leipzig: Teubner, 1914-1931).

- [15] J.P. Ward. "Quaternions and Cayley numbers". Ser. Math. and its Applic. **403** (Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1997).

Address: Sergey V. Ludkovsky, Mathematical Department, TW-WISK, Brussels University, V.U.B., Pleinlaan 2, Brussels 1050, Belgium.

Acknowledgment. The author thanks the Flemish Science Foundation for support through the Noncommutative Geometry from Algebra to Physics project.